

Essex BF 5400(9) Regional Concerns Meeting VT Route 117 – Bridge #2 over Alder Brook

Accelerated Bridge Program

October 3rd, 2016

Introductions

Rob Young, P.E.

VTrans Accelerated Bridge Program Project Manager

Gary Laroche, P.E.

VTrans Accelerated Bridge Program Project Engineer

Geoff Dargan

VTrans Accelerated Bridge Program Project Engineer



Purpose of Meeting / Overview

- Discuss location of structure in question
- Provide an understanding of the VTrans project development process and our approach to the project
- Discuss state of current structure
- Discuss remedial alternatives to correct the state of the current structure
- Discuss our ultimate recommended alternative
- Provide an opportunity to ask questions and voice concerns







VTrans Project Development Process



rogram

Who are you representing?

- A. Municipal official
- B. Resident
- C. Local business
- D. Independent organization
- E. Other



How often do you use this segment of VT 117?

- A. Daily
- B. Weekly
- C. Monthly
- D. Rarely
- E. Never



How often do you walk over the bridge?

- A. Daily
- B. Weekly
- C. Monthly
- D. Rarely
- E. Never



How often do you bike over the bridge?

- A. Daily
- B. Weekly
- C. Monthly
- D. Rarely
- E. Never



What is your reason for attending this meeting?

- A. Specific concern
- B. General interest
- C. Live in close vicinity
- D. Other



Description of Terms Used



Cross Section of a Pipe Arch



Description of Terms Used (Cont.)



Existing Conditions – Bridge #2

- Roadway Classification Principal Arterial
- Bridge Type 17' Corrugated Metal Pipe Arch
- Constructed in 1993
- Ownership State of Vermont



Existing Conditions – Bridge #2

- Damaged existing culvert
 - Considerable corrosion
 - Invert buckling
- Emergency remedial action: Temporary beams placed over existing culvert – late 2013
- FIS (Flood Insurance Survey) applies
- AOP (Aquatic Organism Passage) applies



Culvert Invert Deterioration



Existing Conditions





Design Considerations

- High traffic volume:
 - ADT of 9,400
 - DHV of 1,220
- Commuter Route:
 - % Trucks: 4.1
- Design Speed of 40 mph
- Archaeological sensitive areas downstream of existing bridge



Alternatives Considered

- No Action
- Invert Repair
- Full Structure Replacement on Alignment with new bridge or arch



Alternative #1 Discussion

Do Nothing – Extend Current Situation

- Bridge not in imminent danger of collapse
- No additional Agency funds required to maintain
- No impact to site
- Scour/erosion issues that could lead to emergencies
- Difficult to quantify these risks
 - Difficulty inspecting
- Emergencies: costly to the Agency and travelling public



Alternative #2 Discussion

Culvert Rehabilitation

- Low cost solution to solve scour and structural integrity issues
- ~ \$750K
- Low impact to site no impact to traffic
- FIS limits repair options
- AOP complicates repair permitting
- Repair may suffer from similar durability issues
- Remaining repair options considered too risky



Alternative #3 Discussion

New Open-Bottom Bridge

- Replaces existing situation with a dependable, durable structure
- Several types of structures explored
 - Pre-stressed concrete beam (reuse temporary beams) ~ \$2.2M
 - Arch (38' Span) ~ \$2.8M
 - Rigid-frame (30' Span) ~ \$2.4M
- Most expensive alternative



Alternative #4 Discussion

New Aluminum Structure

- Replaces existing situation with a dependable, durable structure
- Span: 35'
- Rise: 11' 2"
- Replaces existing structure with a similar structure
 - Greatly increased width provides decreased flow velocities
- Extremely cost-effective ~ \$1.8M



Recommended Scope

- Full bridge replacement with an aluminum buried structure and traffic maintained on existing structure with lane closures.
 - Utility relocation possibly required
 - ROW acquisition unlikely
 - Anticipated construction date 2021 to 2022
 - Expected construction duration Three months



Utility Considerations



- Gas line and overhead utilities should not be affected
- State-owned underground electric line temporarily de-energized
- Possibly sewer line relocation (temporary or permanent)
 - Utility in State ROW



Roadway Typical Section





Buried Structure Typical Section





Proposed Profile





What Will the New Bridge Look Like?

Example of Proposed Structure

- Aluminum Buried Structure
- Box Beam or W-Beam Guardrail





Accelerated Bridge Program

Maintenance of Traffic Options Considered

- Existing roadway width provides adequate space for a phased project
 - Two, opposing lanes of traffic
- Detour considerations
 - Available detour routes less than optimal
 - High ADT
 - A detour is difficult to justify



Which time of year would be least acceptable for Bridge #2 to be restricted by lane closures?

- A. April/May
- B. June/July
- C. August/September
- D. Other



Which would you be most concerned about?

- A. Closure Duration
- B. Bridge Aesthetics
- C. Environmental Impacts
- D. Recreational Impacts
- E. Other
- F. Not really concerned



Which design aspect is the most important to you?

- A. Shoulder width/bicycle accommodations
- B. Aesthetics Bridge Railing
- C. Construction year
- D. Construction Duration
- E. Cost
- F. Other



Did you find this presentation to be?

- A. Too technical in nature
- B. Too simplified
- C. Just about right
- D. Not much use at all



Do you find the recommended scope of work satisfactory?

- A. Yes
- B. No



Next Steps – Bridge #2

This is a list of a few important activities expected in the near future and is not a complete list of activities.

Develop Conceptual plans and distribute for comment

Right-of-Way process (if needed)



For more information:

https://outside.vermont.gov/agency/vtrans/external/Projects/Structures/13D654



Essex BF 5400(9) Questions & Comments VT Route 117 – Bridge #2 over Alder Brook

October 3rd, 2016

